

# Lecture 8 :- Algorithmic applications of convex programs. Rounding.

- There are fast (both in theory & practice) algorithms for linear programming

$$c^T x$$

$$Ax = b$$

$$x \geq 0.$$

$$x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Thm: (Kachian / Karmarkar). Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , One can compute in time  $\text{poly}(\text{bitsize}(A, b, c), \log(1/\epsilon))$  a  $\epsilon$ -approximation to the optimal value.

Combinations of these methods (Ellipsoid / Interior point) + Simplex method work well in practice as well.

## Questions :-

(1) What other classes of optimization admit such algorithms?

(2) Can these methods be used for combinatorial optimization?

## Q 1 :-

What about convex pro. over convex sets? (Not always possible in general, but interesting cases do admit such algorithms).

An interesting example :- Semi-definite programming.

Positive semi-definite matrix :- A  $n \times n$  real

matrix  $M$  is called positive semi-definite if

(i)  $M$  is symmetric

(ii)  $v^T M v \geq 0$  for every  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

This is denoted as  $M \succeq 0$ . The notation  $A \succeq B$  means that  $A - B$  is PSD.

Observation:-  $\{M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mid M \succeq 0\}$  is a convex cone.

$$\begin{aligned} (v^T(\lambda M + \mu N)v) &= \lambda v^T M v + \mu v^T N v \geq 0 \\ &\text{when } \lambda, \mu \geq 0 \text{ and } M, N \succeq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Ex:- If  $A, B \succeq 0$  is  $AB \succeq 0$ ??

Matrix inner product.  $A \bullet B := \text{Tr}(AB^T)$

$$:= \sum_{\substack{k \in [n] \\ l \in [n]}} A_{kl} B_{kl}$$

when  $A, B$  are  $n \times n$  matrices.

Semi-definite program:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_X \quad & C \bullet X \\ & A_i \bullet X = b_i \quad 1 \leq i \leq k \\ & X \succeq 0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $X, C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $A_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $b_i \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Thm:- Can be solved to  $\epsilon$ -accuracy in time  $\text{poly}(\text{bitsize}(C, \{b_i\}_{i=1}^k, \{A_i\}_{i=1}^k), \log(1/\epsilon))$ .

Q2:- Can these be used for combinatorial optimization?

MAX-CUT:- Input:- A weighted undirected graph  $G = (V, E, W)$ ,  $w_e$  is the weight of edge  $e$ .

Output:  $S \subseteq V$  s.t.

$$\text{Cut}(S) := \sum_{e: \text{end}=1} w_e$$

is as large as possible.

[NP-hard]

Ex: Given an algorithm (possibly randomized) s.t. it produces and  $R \subseteq V$  s.t.

$$\text{Cut}(R) \geq \frac{1}{2} \text{Max Cut}(S).$$

Just independently choosing each vertex to be in  $R$  with prob.  $1/2$  gives

$$E[\text{Cut}(R)] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{e \in E} w_e \geq \frac{1}{2} \text{Max Cut}(S).$$

(Can also be easily derandomized)

So the approximation ratio achieved by this algorithm is at least  $1/2$ .

Goemans - Williamson:- Let us try to 'embed' the vertices as vectors (or points) in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  for some large  $d$ , in such a way that cuts defined by halfplanes (all 'vertices' on side of a hyperplane are in  $S$ ) are good.

Suppose our embedding puts each vertex as a unit vector, on a line.

$$\max \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{e=\{i,j\} \\ e \in E}} w_{\{i,j\}} (1 - v_i^T v_j)$$

"unit vectors"

$$\|v_i\|^2 = 1 \quad 1 \leq i \leq n \quad (n \text{ is the number of vertices})$$

$$\text{rank}(\text{span}(\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n)) = 1$$

But this is an exact translation, and so this is still NP-hard.

Goemans - Williamson relaxation :- Drop the rank constraint.

$$\max \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{e=\{i,j\} \\ e \in E}} w_{\{i,j\}} (1 - v_i^T v_j)$$

$$\|v_i\|^2 = 1.$$

Then given such  $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n$ , pick a 'random hyperplane' through the origin, and define  $S$  to be the set of those vectors which lie on one side of the hyperplane.

Q1 :- Where are we going to get the  $v_i$ 's?

Q2 :- How good will the approximation ratio of this ratio be?

For q 1 :-  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is positive semi-definite if and only if there exist  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$  s.t.  
 $A_{ij} = v_i^T v_j$ .

Thus The program will become.

$$\max \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{e \in E \\ e = \{i,j\}}} w_e (1 - A_{ij})$$

$$A_{ii} = 1 \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

$$A \succeq 0.$$

Fact 2 :- Moving from  $A$  to  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  can be done via eg. the Cholesky decomposition.  
(in particular,  $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n$  can be chosen to be in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .)

Upshot:- We will assume that in time  $\text{poly}(n)$  we have vectors  $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n \in \mathbb{R}^n$  s.t.  $\|v_i\|_2 = 1, 1 \leq i \leq n$  and

$$\text{MAX-CUT}(G) \leq M = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{e \in E \\ e = \{i,j\}}} w_e (1 - v_i^T v_j)$$

Q:- How to go from  $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n$  to an actual cut without a large loss in the objective value?