



each entry is proportional to the perturbation.

Trivial soln. :-  $Ax = Tx \quad T \in \mathbb{R}^T$   
 $D(z) = \frac{z}{T}$

$$\|D(z) - x\|_2 = \left\| \frac{Tx + e}{T} - T \right\|_2 = \frac{\|e\|_2}{T} \leq \frac{\|e\|_1}{T}$$

So can get  $\frac{\|D(z) - x\|_2}{\|e\|_1} \leq \frac{1}{T}$

But just increasing power is not a reasonable solution.

Power constraint :-  $\|Ax\|_2^2 \leq \|x\|_2^2$

Decoding strategy :- Given  $z$ , find the 'closest' vector  $\tilde{z}$  in  $\text{Range}(A)$ . [in  $\|\cdot\|_1$ -norm].

$\forall \tilde{z} \in \text{Range}(A)$  there is a unique  $\tilde{y} := \underbrace{A^{-1}}_{\text{inverse on the range of } A}(\tilde{z})$  s.t.  $A\tilde{y} = \tilde{z}$ .

$$D(z) = A^{-1}(\tilde{z}) \text{ where } \tilde{z} = \underset{y \in \text{Range}(A)}{\text{argmin}} \|y - z\|_1$$

$$\|Dz - x\|_2 \leq ?$$

Q1:- How far is  $\tilde{z}$  from  $z$ ? (in terms of  $e$ ).

We know  $\exists y = Ax \in \text{range}(A)$  s.t.

$$\|z - y\|_1 = \|e\|_1$$

So, we must have  $\|z - \tilde{z}\|_1 \leq \|e\|_1$ .

Q2 := How large is  $\|\tilde{z} - Ax\|_1$ ?

$$\begin{aligned}\|\tilde{z} - Ax\|_1 &= \|\tilde{z} - z + z - Ax\|_1 \\ &\leq \|\tilde{z} - z\|_1 + \|z - Ax\|_1 \\ &\leq 2\|e\|_1.\end{aligned}$$

So therefore: We have  $\|A\tilde{z} - Ax\|_1 \leq 2\|e\|_1$ .  
( $\because \tilde{z} = A\tilde{x}$ , where  $\tilde{x} = D(z)$ ).

$$\|A(\tilde{x} - x)\|_1 \leq 2\|e\|_1$$

$$\|\tilde{z} - x\|_2 = \underbrace{\|A^{-1}(A(\tilde{x} - x))\|_2}_{\substack{\text{inverse of } A \\ \text{on its range}}}$$

$$\leq \|A^{-1}\|_{1 \rightarrow 2} \cdot \|A(\tilde{x} - x)\|_1$$

$$\leq 2\|A^{-1}\|_{1 \rightarrow 2} \cdot \|e\|_1$$

where for a matrix  $M$ ,  $\|M\|_{1 \rightarrow 2} = \sup_{\|v\|_1 \leq 1} \|Mv\|_2$

If we have  $A : \|Ax\|_2 \leq \|x\|_2$  then the above decoding process achieves

$$\frac{\|D(Ax + e) - x\|_2}{\|e\|_1} \leq 2\|A^{-1}\|_{1 \rightarrow 2}$$



So, the question is: with  $m = \Theta(n)$  can we get.

$$\min_{\substack{x \in \text{range}(B) \\ x \neq 0}} \frac{\|x\|_1}{\|x\|_2} = \Omega(\sqrt{n})?$$

- 'Euclidean section': This means that in  $\text{range}(B)$ , which is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  of dimension  $\Theta(m)$ , we have

$$\Theta(\sqrt{m}) \|x\|_2 \leq \|x\|_1 \leq \sqrt{m} \|x\|_2$$

On this space, upto constant factors,  $\frac{\|x\|_1}{\|x\|_2} \sim \sqrt{m}$ .

Trivially.

['Dvoretzky theorem']  
 (This is perhaps the simplest case).  
 Inside  $(\mathbb{R}^m, \ell_1)$  sits a subspace of dimension  $\Theta(m)$  when  $\ell_1$  and  $\ell_2$  are essentially similar.]

(Q) How do we find these sections / such a  $B$ ?

$$\|B\|_{2 \rightarrow 2} \leq 1 \quad \leftarrow$$

$$\text{and } \|B^{-1}\|_{1 \rightarrow 2} \leq \frac{1}{c_2 \sqrt{n}} \quad B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \text{ with } m \leq c_1 n.$$

with  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  constants.

Idea: Maybe a 'random'  $B$  works?

$B_{ij} = \frac{\pm 1}{\sqrt{m}}$  with probability  $\frac{1}{2}$  independently.

Let's fix  $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\|u\|_2 = 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} E[\|Bu\|_2^2] &= E\left[\sum_{j=1}^m |(Bu)_j|^2\right] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m E\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n B_{ji} u_i\right)^2\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n u_i^2 = 1. \quad \text{--- } \otimes \end{aligned}$$

Goal is to prove that with this choice

$$\|B\|_{2 \rightarrow 2} \leq c \quad \text{with prob} \geq \frac{1}{4}.$$

for some constant  $c$ .

$$\Leftrightarrow \|Bu\|_2 \leq c \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}^n, \|u\|_2 = 1.$$

We do have.  $\forall u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\|u\|_2 = 1$ .

$$\otimes \quad \Pr[\|Bu\|_2 \geq t] \leq \frac{1}{t^2} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

But we cannot take a union bound over all  $u$ . There are infinitely many  $u$ 's.

- Also  $\otimes$  is not the best  $\rightarrow$  This can be made into a Hoeffding like bound using the fact that for any fixed  $u$ , each entry of  $Bu$  is subgaussian (and also, different entries

of  $Bu$  are independent), with parameter  $\Theta(\frac{1}{n})$

$$\therefore E[\exp(\lambda(Bu)_i)] \leq \exp\left(\frac{c\lambda^2}{n}\right)$$

for some fixed constant  $c$ .

From this, and an argument similar to Problem 4 of HW 1, we get that  $\forall u, \|u\| = 1$ .

① 
$$P_r[\|Bu\|_2 > c_1 + t] \leq \exp(-c_2 n \cdot t^2) \quad \forall t \geq 0$$
 for some  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  positive constants.

But still, we need to take an union bound over infinitely many  $u$ .

$\epsilon$ -net. Find a finite collection  $F_\epsilon$  of  $u, \|u\| \leq 1$  s.t. every  $u$  with  $\|u\| \leq 1$  is close ( $\epsilon$ -close) to some element of  $F_\epsilon$ .

Why does this help? Suppose  $F_\epsilon$  is a finite s.t.

(1)  $\forall u$  s.t.  $\|u\| \leq 1$ , there exists  $v \in F_\epsilon$  s.t.  $\|u - v\|_2 \leq \epsilon$ .

(2)  $\exists c > 0$  s.t.  $\|Bv\|_2 \leq c \quad \forall v \in F_\epsilon$ .

Suppose that  $\|B\|_{2 \rightarrow 2} = M$ . With ① and ② we get the following: Take any  $u$  s.t.  $\|u\|_2 \leq 1$ .

Then  $\exists v \in F_\varepsilon$  s.t.  $\|u-v\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$ . Now,

$$\|Bu\|_2 = \|B(v+u-v)\|_2$$

$$\leq \|Bv\|_2 + \|B(u-v)\|_2$$

$$\leq \underbrace{c}_{\text{from ②}} + \underbrace{M \|u-v\|_2}_{\because \|B\|_{2 \rightarrow 2} = M}$$

$$\leq c + M\varepsilon \quad (\because \|u-v\|_2 \leq \varepsilon)$$

So, we see that

$$\|Bu\|_2 \leq c + M\varepsilon \quad \forall u, \|u\|_2 \leq 1.$$

Then

$$M = \sup_{u: \|u\|_2 \leq 1} \|Bu\|_2 \leq c + M\varepsilon.$$

$$\Rightarrow M(1-\varepsilon) \leq c$$

$$\Rightarrow M \leq \frac{c}{1-\varepsilon} \quad (\varepsilon < 1).$$

So we have:-

Lemma: Let  $F_\varepsilon$  be an  $\varepsilon$ -net of  $\{x \mid \|x\|_2 \leq 1\}$ .

If  $B$  satisfies  $\|Bv\| \leq c \quad \forall v \in F_\varepsilon$  then

$$\|B\|_{2 \rightarrow 2} \leq \frac{c}{1-\varepsilon}, \text{ assuming } \varepsilon < 1.$$

Thus if we find  $F_\varepsilon$  of size  $\exp(O(m))$ , we will get  $\|B\|_{2 \rightarrow 2} \leq c$  w.p.  $> 0$ , using ① above.

Q: How does one find  $F_\varepsilon$ ? (Or show



## existence )

Process Suppose we have picked so far points  $S = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ . To pick the next point (if necessary) we pick a point  $n$  <sup>in the ball</sup> whose distance to all the previously picked points is greater than  $\varepsilon$ .

- If we can't find such a point, our collection is already an  $\varepsilon$ -net?

Invariant that we maintain.

$$\|p - q\|_2 > \varepsilon \quad \forall \text{ two distinct points } p, q \in S$$

where  $S \subseteq \{x \mid \|x\| \leq 1\}$ .

S<sub>0</sub>(1) the balls of radius  $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  around distinct points in  $S$  cannot intersect!

(2) Since  $p \in S \Rightarrow \|p\| \leq 1$ , the ball of radius  $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  around  $p$  lies in  $\{x \mid \|x\| \leq 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\}$ .

So ① and ② give.

$$\text{Vol} \left( \bigcup_{p \in S} \text{Ball}(p, \varepsilon/2) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{p \in S} \text{Vol}(\text{Ball}(p, \varepsilon/2)) = |S| \cdot \text{Vol}(\text{Ball}(0, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}))$$

$$\leq \text{Vol}(\text{Ball}(0, 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}))$$

*∵ the balls are just translations.*

$$|S| \cdot \text{Vol}(\text{Ball}(0, \frac{\epsilon}{2})) \leq \text{Vol}(\text{Ball}(0, 1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}))$$

$$\therefore |S| \leq \frac{\text{Vol}(\text{Ball}(0, 1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}))}{\text{Vol}(\text{Ball}(0, \frac{\epsilon}{2}))}$$

Now  $\text{Vol}(\text{Ball}(0, r)) = \text{Vol}(\text{Ball}(0, 1)) \cdot r^m$   
when Vol is in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

So, we get  $|S| \leq \left(\frac{2}{\epsilon} + 1\right)^m \leq \left(\frac{3}{\epsilon}\right)^m$   
when  $\epsilon \leq 1$ .

This, along with ①, establishes the easy part that  $\|B\|_{2 \rightarrow 2} \leq C$  with prob.  $> 0$  (where  $c, C$  are absolute constants) when  $m > c, n$ .

—  $\|B^{-1}\|_{1 \rightarrow 2}$  can be handled by giving a high probability lower bound on  $\|Bv\|_1, \|v\|_2 = 1$ , and then a slightly different  $\epsilon$ -net argument.