

- (1) Please take time to write clear and concise solutions. You are *STRONGLY* encouraged to submit *L^AT_EX*ed solutions.
(2) Collaboration is OK, but please write your answers yourself, and include in your answers the names of *EVERYONE* you collaborated with and *ALL* references other than class notes you consulted.
(3) Answer any 4 of the 5 questions. Each question has the same weightage of 10 points each.

1. [Trevisan's robust characterization of bipartiteness]

Let $G = (V, E)$ be an undirected unweighted connected graph. Let W be the random-walk matrix and $L = I - W$ be the Laplacian. Recall that $W = D^{-1}A$ where $D = \text{Diag}(\text{deg})$ is the diagonal matrix of degrees and A is the adjacency matrix of G . Let π be the stationary distribution and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\pi$ the corresponding π -inner product. Recall that the quadratic form corresponding to the Laplacian satisfies the following.

$$\langle f, Lf \rangle_\pi = \sum_{\{i,j\}} \pi(i) \cdot W(i, j) \cdot (f(i) - f(j))^2,$$

where π the stationary distribution of this random walk matrix The largest eigenvalue of the normalized Laplacian, denoted by λ_n , satisfies

$$\lambda_n = \max_{f \neq 0} \frac{\langle f, Lf \rangle_\pi}{\langle f, f \rangle_\pi}.$$

- (a) (1 point) [**bipartite** $\Leftrightarrow \lambda_n = 2$]

Prove that $\lambda_n \leq 2$. Furthermore, prove that equality holds iff the graph G is bipartite.

- (b) (2 points) [**almost bipartite** $\Rightarrow \lambda_n$ **almost 2**]

Suppose the MAXCUT in G has normalized cost at least $1 - \varepsilon$. That is, there exists a cut $(S, V \setminus S)$ such $|E(S, V \setminus S)| \geq (1 - \varepsilon)|E|$ where $E(S, V \setminus S) = \{\{u, v\} \in E : u \in S, v \notin S\}$. Prove that there is a non-zero vector $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, Lf \rangle_\pi &\geq \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{2}, \\ \langle f, f \rangle_\pi &= \pi(S) \cdot (1 - \pi(S)) \leq \frac{1}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, conclude that $\lambda_n \geq 2(1 - \varepsilon)$.

- (c) (7 points) [λ_n **almost 2** \Rightarrow **almost bipartite**]

In this part, we will prove the following theorem.

Theorem. Let $\lambda_n \geq 2(1 - \varepsilon)$ or equivalently there exists a non-zero vector $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\langle f, (I + W)f \rangle_\pi \leq 2\varepsilon \cdot \langle f, f \rangle_\pi$. Then there exists non-zero vector $y \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^V$ such that

$$\frac{\sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} |y_i + y_j|}{\sum_{i \in V} d_i |y_i|} \leq \sqrt{8\varepsilon}.$$

To this end, we define the following randomized process that constructs a random non-zero vector $Y \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^V$ given a non-zero vector $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\langle f, (I + W)f \rangle_\pi \leq 2\varepsilon \cdot \langle f, f \rangle_\pi$. Since this latter condition is scale-invariant, we may assume wlog. that $\max_i |f(i)| = 1$ and let $i_* \in V$ such that $|f(i_*)| = 1$.

- Pick a value t uniformly in $[0, 1]$.
- Define $Y \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^V$ as follows:

$$Y_i = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } f(i) < -\sqrt{t}, \\ 1 & \text{if } f(i) > \sqrt{t}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise, i.e., } |f(i)| \leq \sqrt{t}. \end{cases}$$

- i. (1 point) Prove that $\mathbf{P} [\exists i \in V, Y_i \neq 0] = 1$.
- ii. (2 points) Prove that for any $i, j \in V$, $\mathbf{E} [|Y_i|] = f(i)^2$ and $\mathbf{E} [|Y_i + Y_j|] \leq |f(i) + f(j)| \cdot (|f(i)| + |f(j)|)$.
- iii. (3 points) Prove that $\mathbf{E} [\sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} |Y_i + Y_j|] \leq \sqrt{8\varepsilon} \cdot \mathbf{E} [\sum_i d_i |Y_i|]$.
Hint: Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality.
- iv. (1 point) Hence, conclude that there exists a non-zero vector $y \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^V$ such that $\sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} |y_i + y_j| \leq \sqrt{8\varepsilon} \cdot \sum_{i \in V} d_i |y_i|$.

Discussion. It is known that G is connected if $\lambda_2 \neq 0$. Or equivalently, $\phi(G) \neq 0$ iff $\lambda_2 \neq 0$. Cheeger's inequalities give a "quantitative strengthening" of this statement by showing that

$$\sqrt{2\lambda_2} \geq \phi(G) \geq \lambda_2/2.$$

The problem is similar in spirit but works with λ_n and "bipartiteness" instead of λ_2 and "connectedness".

Define the bipartiteness ratio number of a graph G to be

$$\beta(G) := \min_{y \in \{-1,0,1\}^V} \frac{\sum_{\{u,v\} \in E} |y_u + y_v|}{2d \sum_{u \in V} |y_u|},$$

which is equivalent to

$$\beta(G) = \min_{S \subseteq V, (L,R) \text{ partition of } S} \frac{2\partial(L,L) + 2\partial(R,R) + \partial(S, V \setminus S)}{d|S|},$$

Observe that $\beta(G) = 0$ iff G is bipartite. Problem 1a shows that $\beta(G) = 0$ iff $\lambda_n = 2$. Problems 1b–1c are a quantitative strengthening of this claim as they demonstrate that

$$\sqrt{2(2 - \lambda_n)} \geq \beta(G) \geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot (2 - \lambda_n).$$

This result is due to Luca Trevisan.

2. [Chernoff bound for Expander Random-Walks]

In lecture, we proved the following hitting set lemma for random-walks on spectral expanders.

Lemma. Let W be a reversible random walk on a set V of n vertices and $1 = \omega_1 \geq \omega_2 \geq \dots \geq \omega_n \geq -1$ be its eigenvalues and π the stationary distribution. Let $\omega = \max\{\omega_2, |\omega_n|\}$. Let $B \subseteq V$ such that $\mu := \pi(B)$. Let X_1, \dots, X_t be a random-walk of length t according to W where the first vertex X_1 is chosen according to the stationary distribution. Then

$$\mathbf{P} \left[\bigwedge_{i \in [t]} (X_i \in B) \right] \leq \mu \cdot (\mu + \omega(1 - \mu))^{t-1}. \tag{1}$$

In this problem, we will extend this obtain the following Chernoff-like bound on expander random-walks.

Theorem. Let W be a reversible random walk on a set V of n vertices and $1 = \omega_1 \geq \omega_2 \geq \dots \geq \omega_n \geq -1$ be its eigenvalues and π the stationary distribution. Let $\omega = \max\{\omega_2, |\omega_n|\}$. Let $B \subseteq V$ such that $\mu := \pi(B)$. Let X_1, \dots, X_t be a random-walk of length t according to W where the first vertex X_1 is chosen according to the stationary distribution. Then for any $\delta \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\mathbf{P} [\#\{i: X_i \in B\} \geq (\mu + \omega(1 - \lambda) + \delta)t] \leq \exp(-\Omega(\delta^2 t)).$$

Let $S \subseteq [t]$ be a random subset chosen as follows: for each $i \in [t]$, independently add i to S with probability q . This satisfies that for any fixed set $s \subseteq [t]$, we have $\mathbf{P} [S = s] = q^{|s|} \cdot (1 - q)^{t-|s|}$ where $k = |s|$.

(a) (2 points) Use (1) to conclude that for each integer $0 \leq k \leq t$

$$\mathbf{P}_{X_1, \dots, X_t, S} \left[\bigwedge_{i \in S} (X_i \in B) \mid |S| = k \right] \leq \mu \cdot (\mu + \omega(1 - \mu))^{k-1} \leq (\mu + \omega(1 - \mu))^k.$$

Note that the above probability is over the random choice of the walk X_1, \dots, X_t as well as the set S conditioned on the fact that $|S| = k$.

(b) (4 points) Show that

$$\mathbf{P}_{X_1, \dots, X_t, S} \left[\bigwedge_{i \in S} (X_i \in B) \right] \leq (q \cdot (\mu + \omega(1 - \mu)) + 1 - q)^t.$$

(c) (3 points) Let X_B be the random subset of $[t]$ defined as follows:

$$X_B = \{i \in [t] : X_i \in B\}.$$

Show that

$$\mathbf{P} [|X_B| \geq (\mu + \varepsilon)t] \leq \left(\frac{q \cdot (\mu + \omega(1 - \mu)) + 1 - q}{(1 - q)^{1 - \mu - \varepsilon}} \right)^t.$$

(d) (1 point) Let $\varepsilon > \lambda(1 - \mu)$. Use calculus to show that the right hand side of the above expression is minimized when

$$q = \frac{\varepsilon - \omega(1 - \mu)}{(1 - \mu - \omega(1 - \mu)) \cdot (\mu + \varepsilon)},$$

to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P} [|X_B| \geq (\mu + \varepsilon)t] &\leq \left[\left(\frac{\mu + \omega(1 - \mu)}{\mu + \varepsilon} \right)^{\mu + \varepsilon} \cdot \left(\frac{1 - \mu - \omega(1 - \mu)}{1 - \mu - \varepsilon} \right)^{1 - \mu - \varepsilon} \right]^t \\ &= \exp(-D_{KL}(\mu + \varepsilon \parallel \mu + \omega(1 - \mu)) \cdot t). \end{aligned}$$

Discussion. This proof of the Chernoff bound on expander random-walks is due to Impagliazzo and Kabanets. A proof can of the standard Chernoff bound can also be obtained along similar lines. For expander random-walks, a stronger Chernoff bound is known due to Gillman.

3. [SDP formulation of the Lovász Theta function]

In class, we discussed the following SDP formulation of the Lovász Theta function. follows

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_L(G) &= \min_{\text{symmetric } M \in \mathbb{R}^{V \times V}, \lambda} \lambda \\ &\text{subject to} \\ &\begin{cases} M_{u,v} = 1 & \text{if } u = v \text{ or } (u, v) \notin E \\ M \preceq \lambda I \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

In this problem, we will show that $\theta_L(G) = \vartheta(G)$.

- (a) (5 points) Given an orthonormal representation (u_1, \dots, u_n) and a handle c with value $\vartheta(G, \bar{u}, c)$, define the vectors $v_i := c - u_i / \langle c, u_i \rangle$. Consider the matrix $N = (\langle v_i, v_j \rangle)_{i,j}$ and $D = \text{diag}(\vartheta(G, \bar{u}, c) - 1 / \langle c, u_i \rangle^2)$. Use the above to show that $N + D \succeq 0$. Conclude that $\theta_L(G) \leq \vartheta(G)$.
- (b) (5 points) Let (M, λ) be a feasible solution to the SDP formulation of $\theta_L(G)$. Since $\lambda I - M \succeq 0$, we have that there exist vectors v_1, \dots, v_n such that $\lambda I - M = (\langle v_i, v_j \rangle)_{i,j}$. Let c be any unit vector orthogonal to all the v_i 's. Define $u_i := (c + v_i) / \sqrt{\lambda}$. Show that (u_1, \dots, u_n) is an orthonormal representation of G . Using this prove that $\vartheta(G) \leq \lambda$. Hence, conclude that $\vartheta(G) \leq \theta_L(G)$.

4. [Kakeya Sets]

Let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field of size q . A *Kakeya set* in \mathbb{F}_q^m is a set $K \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^m$ such that K contains a line in every direction. More precisely, K is a Kakeya set if for every $y \in \mathbb{F}_q^m$ there exists a $z \in \mathbb{F}_q^m$ such that the line

$$L_{z,y} = \{z + t \cdot y : t \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$$

is contained in K .

A trivial upper bound on the size of K is q^m and this can be improved to $q^m/2^{m-1}$. In this problem, we will use the polynomial method to show a lower bound of $q^m/m!$. More precisely, we will show that

$$|K| \geq \binom{q+m-1}{m}.$$

Suppose, for contradiction that this is not the case.

- (a) (4 points) Show that there exists a m -variate non-zero polynomial g of total degree $d \leq q-1$ such that $g(x) = 0$ for all $x \in K$.

Let g_d be the homogenous part of degree d of g so that g_d is non-zero and homogenous.

For any $y \in \mathbb{F}_q^m$, we know that there exists a $z \in \mathbb{F}_q^m$ such that the line $L_{z,y}$ is contained in K . Consider the following univariate polynomial

$$P_{y,z}(t) := g(z + t \cdot y).$$

- (b) (2 points) Argue that $P_{y,z}$ is identically zero and hence the coefficient of t^d in $P_{y,z}(t)$ is zero.
(c) (2 points) Show that the coefficient of t^d in $P_{y,z}(t)$ is exactly $g_d(y)$.
(d) (2 points) Conclude that g_d is identically zero, a contradiction.

Discussion. *This proof is due to Zeev Dvir.*

5. [3-AP-free sets in \mathbb{F}_3^n via the polynomial method]

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_3^n$. We say that A is 3-AP-free if there does not exist $x \neq y \in \mathbb{F}_3^n$ such that $x, (x+y)/2, y \in A$ (i.e., A does not contain any non-trivial arithmetic progression of length 3). In this problem, we will use the polynomial method to show that any 3-AP-free set is of size at most c^n for some fixed $c \in (2, 3)$.

- (a) (2 points) Let $0 \leq d \leq 2n$. Let $V_d(n)$ denote the set of all functions from \mathbb{F}_3^n to \mathbb{F}_3 expressible as degree d polynomials. In other words, if $f \in V_d$, then f can be expressed as a polynomial of the form

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{\mathbf{a}=(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \{0,1,2\}^n: \sum a_i \leq d} c_{\mathbf{a}} \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{a_i}.$$

Let $m_d(n) = \dim(V_d(n))$. Prove the following facts about m_d .

- i. $m_{2n}(n) = 3^n$.
 - ii. For all $0 \leq d \leq 2n$, $m_{2n-d}(n) = 3^n - m_d(n)$.
 - iii. There exists $c \in (2, 3)$ such that $m_{2n/3}(n) \leq c^n$.
- (b) (3 points) Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_3^n$ be 3-AP-free.
- i. Show that if $m_d > 3^n - |A|$, then there exists a non-zero $f \in V_d$ such that $f(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \notin A$.
 - ii. Strengthen the above to show that if $m_d > 3^n - |A|$, then there exists an $f \in V_d$ such that $f(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \notin A$ and f is non-zero on at least $(m_d + |A| - 3^n)$ points in A .
 - iii. Let $f : \mathbb{F}_3^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_3$ such that $f(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \notin A$. Define the matrix $M_f \in \mathbb{F}_3^{A \times A}$ as follows:
 $M_f(x, y) := f((x+y)/2)$ for all $x, y \in A$. Show that the rank of M_f is exactly $|\{x \in A | f(x) \neq 0\}|$.
- (c) (4 points) Let $g : \mathbb{F}_3^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_3$ be a function in $V_d(n)$. Consider the matrix M_g given by $M_g(x, y) := g(x+y)$. Prove the following facts about the rank of the matrix M_g .
- i. $\text{rank}(M_g) \leq m_d(n)$.
 - ii. Strengthen the above to show that $\text{rank}(M_g) \leq 2 \cdot m_{d/2}(n)$.

Hint: Recall that if a $t \times t$ -matrix M can be decomposed as $M = UV$ where U is a $t \times r$ -matrix and V is a $r \times t$ matrix (or equivalently there exists $2t$ r -dimensional vectors $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_t, \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_t$ such that $M(i, j) = u_i^T v_j$), then $\text{rank}(M) \leq r$.

- (d) (1 point) Conclude from the above parts that if A is 3-AP-free, then $|A| \leq m_{2n-d} + 2m_{d/2}$. Setting $d = 4n/3$ show that $|A| \leq 3c^n$ where c is as in Part 5(a)iii